

PRESENTERS



Robert Kirkness, Thorndon Chambers, Wellington

Robert is an experienced disputes lawyer specialising in commercial, public and international law. He has represented corporations, individuals and the Crown in a wide-range of commercial and public law litigation before the New Zealand courts (Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, High Court and District Court) and acted for corporations and sovereign States in some of the most complex and high-profile matters submitted to arbitration in the past decade. Robert is recommended by all major legal directories. He accepts appointments to serve as arbitrator in commercial disputes.



Sally McKechnie, Simpson Grierson, Wellington

Sally is a leading public law and regulatory advisor and litigator who previously worked as Crown Counsel. She helps both public and private clients to manage a wide range of public law and regulatory issues – including administrative decision making and processes, regulatory and statutory obligations, and judicial review. Sally assists clients with engaging with inquiries and Royal Commissions and in responding to investigations by public agencies, such as the Ombudsman, Auditor General and Privacy Commission. She has considerable trial and appellate experience and appears regularly in the High Court, Court of Appeal and before inquiries, specialist courts and tribunals.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. FUNDAMENTALS	3
JUDICIAL REVIEW INVOLVES SUPERVISION OF PUBLIC POWER BY THE COURTS	3
THE COURT'S ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER AND HOW TO INTERVENE IS AN EXERCISE IN JUDGEMENT.....	5
3. GROUNDS.....	7
ILLEGALITY	7
<i>Error of law.</i>	8
<i>Improper purpose.</i>	9
<i>Mistake of fact.</i>	11
<i>Rigid application of pre-determined policy.</i>	12
<i>Acting under dictation.</i>	12
<i>Invalid delegation.</i>	13
<i>Ultra vires regulations.</i>	14
UNFAIRNESS	14
<i>Natural justice.</i>	15
<i>Consultation.</i>	15
<i>A duty to consult?</i>	16
<i>What does consultation require?</i>	17
<i>Does the decision-maker need to consult again?</i>	17
<i>Bias.</i>	18
<i>Substantive unfairness.</i>	18
UNREASONABLENESS.....	19
<i>Unreasonableness plays a limited role in actual cases before the courts</i>	19
<i>Ongoing uncertainty about the standard of the Court's review</i>	20
<i>Unreasonableness as a species of illegality review.....</i>	23
4. RELIEF	25
REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO APPLICANTS	25
WHICH REMEDY TO SEEK?	26
WILL THE RELIEF SOUGHT BE GRANTED?	26
5. TRENDS.....	29
TE AO MĀORI AND TIKANGA	29
<i>Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki Tribal Trust v Minister of Conservation</i>	29
<i>Treaty settlements and enforcing rights of first refusal.</i>	31
PUBLIC INTEREST CLAIMS	32
<i>Tactical Judicial Review</i>	32
<i>Crowd funding.....</i>	33
<i>Environmental and animal welfare reviews</i>	34
CLIMATE CHANGE-RELATED JUDICIAL REVIEW	36
A CHANGING APPROACH TO INTERIM ORDERS?	38
6. PRACTICALITIES	41
JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY ASSIST THE DECISION-MAKER	41
THE LAW IS MOST IMPORTANT	41
<i>Procedurally, the law comes first.....</i>	42
<i>Ensuring a good understanding of the law.....</i>	42
PROPER PROCESS – DOCUMENTARY RECORD.....	43
<i>The flaws of defensive decision making.....</i>	43
<i>Show your working.....</i>	44
PROPER PROCESS – CONSULTATION	44
<i>The strength of proper consultation</i>	45
THE LAWYER'S ROLE	45
A “GOOD PROCESS” MODEL	46
7. JUDICIAL REVIEW: HOW TO DO IT.....	49

KICKING OFF: THE PLEADINGS	49
<i>Justiciability</i>	49
<i>Assessing the sufficiency of your information</i>	49
<i>Jurisdiction</i>	49
<i>Intitulment</i>	50
PARTIES	51
<i>Applicant(s)</i>	51
<i>Respondents</i>	52
THE CROWN AS RESPONDENT	52
<i>Statement of claim</i>	53
<i>Parties</i>	53
<i>The source of the decision-making power</i>	53
<i>Subject matter</i>	54
<i>Sequence of events</i>	54
<i>Decision subject to review</i>	54
<i>Grounds for relief</i>	54
<i>Remedy</i>	55
WHAT ELSE TO FILE?.....	55
<i>Notice of proceeding</i>	55
<i>Supporting affidavits</i>	55
STATEMENT OF DEFENCE	55
<i>Service</i>	55
INTERIM ORDERS.....	56
EVIDENCE	56
PROCEDURE AT HEARING	57
<i>Cross-examination?</i>	57
<i>Cross examination of senior decision-makers</i>	58
OUTCOME	59
8. APPENDIX A: DECISION-MAKING CHECKLIST	61
9. APPENDIX B: PRECEDENTS.....	63
10. APPENDIX C: JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCEDURE ACT 2016.....	77